

Combining **Effects** and **Coeffects** via Grading

Marco Gaboardi
SUNY Buffalo, USA

Shin-ya Katsumata
Kyoto University, Japan

Dominic Orchard
University of Kent, UK

Flavien Breuvert
INRA Sophia Antipolis, France

Tarmo Uustalu
Institute of Cybernetics at
TUT, Estonia

ICFP 2016, Nara, Japan - September 21st

What are **coeffects**?

*Computations make changes to the context (**effects**)
and make demands from the context (**coeffects**).*

- Per-variable **coeffect** dependency properties:
 - ▶ Live-variable analysis (live/dead)
 - ▶ Information flow, e.g., security levels (High/Low)
 - ▶ Bounded Linear Logic (how much variable reuse? resource analysis)
 - ▶ Deconstructors (strictness analysis)
 - ▶ Probabilities and schedules
- Whole-context **coeffect** dependencies (not in this paper)
 - ▶ Additional hardware resources
 - ▶ Library versions
 - ▶ Type class instances

Coeffect analysis and meta languages

- Type-and-coeffect system

- ➔ whole-context analysis

[Petricek et al. '13]

$$\Gamma \mid r \vdash e : \tau$$

- ➔ per-variable analysis

[Petricek et al. '14, Ghica & Smith '14]

$$x : A \cdot r, \dots, y : B \cdot s \vdash e : \tau$$

- Coeffect meta-language

[Brunel et al. '14]

- ➔ indexed type constructor, generalises linear exponential

$$\Gamma, x : !_r A \vdash e : !_s A$$

- Semiring structure on **coeffect information**
- Semantics via **graded comonads**

This paper: **effect** and **coeffect** interactions

→ Consider two examples:

- ▶ **Security levels** masking **non-determinism**
- ▶ **Exceptions** change **linear reuse bounds**

→ Core calculus

- ▶ linear-lambda calculus with **effect** and **coeffect** types

$$\Gamma \vdash t : T_e A \qquad \Gamma, x : \Box_r A \vdash t : B$$

- ▶ parametric in **effect**, **coeffect** algebra

→ **Graded semantics**: **graded monads**, **graded comonads**,
graded distributive laws (new here)

Graded semantics

Core calculus

Interaction example

Graded semantics

Core calculus

Interaction example

$$\text{comp} \frac{A \rightarrow TB \quad B \rightarrow TC}{A \rightarrow TC}$$

$$\text{id} \frac{}{A \rightarrow TA}$$

monads

$$\text{comp} \frac{A \rightarrow T_e B}{A \rightarrow T_e B}$$

with monoid $(E, \bullet, 1)$

Graded slogan:
 match the structure of
 computation with structure
 in the indices

$$\text{comp} \frac{\square_r A \rightarrow B \quad \square_s B \rightarrow C}{\square_{r*s} A \rightarrow C} \quad \text{id} \frac{}{\square_1 A \rightarrow A}$$

with monoid $(R, *, 1)$

graded comonad [coeffect papers]

Graded semantics

Core calculus

Interaction example

Graded semantics

Core calculus

Interaction example

Core calculus

- i. Graded monadic meta language for **effects**
- ii. Graded comonadic meta language for **coeffects**
- iii. Linear lambda calculus (substructural via **coeffects**)
- iv. Graded distributed law for **interaction**

1. Graded monadic meta language for effects

Pre-ordered monoid of effect information $E = (\mathcal{E}, \bullet, 1, \leq)$

$$\text{letT} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_e A \quad \Delta, x : A \vdash t_2 : T_f B}{\Gamma + \Delta \vdash \mathbf{let} \langle x \rangle = t_1 \mathbf{in} t_2 : T_{e \bullet f} B} \quad \text{unit} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \langle t \rangle : T_1 A}$$

e.g., exceptions, with simple analysis $\mathcal{E} = \{\top, \perp\}$

\perp = definite exception

\top = may succeed

$$1 = \top$$

$$\bullet = \wedge$$

$$\perp \wedge \perp = \perp$$

$$\perp \wedge \top = \perp$$

$$\top \wedge \perp = \perp$$

$$\top \wedge \top = \top$$

2. Graded comonad meta language for **coeffacts**

Pre-ordered semiring of coeffact information $C = (\mathcal{C}, *, 1, +, 0, \leq)$

$$\text{der} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma, x : \square_1 A \vdash t : B} \qquad \text{pr} \frac{\square \Gamma \vdash t : B}{r * \square \Gamma \vdash [t] : \square_r B}$$

$$\text{let} \square \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \square_r A \qquad \Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash t_2 : B}{\Gamma + \Delta \vdash \mathbf{let} [x] = t_1 \mathbf{in} t_2 : B}$$

e.g. Bounded Linear Logic (Girard et al.) $C = (\mathbb{N}, *, 1, +, 0, \leq)$

$$\text{pr} \frac{x : [\text{int}]_1, y : [\text{int}]_2 \vdash x + y + y : \text{int}}{x : [\text{int}]_2, y : [\text{int}]_4 \vdash [x + y + y] : \square_2 \text{int}}$$

3. Linear lambda calculus

$$\text{ax} \frac{}{x : A \vdash x : A} \quad \text{abs} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : A \rightarrow B} \quad \text{app} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \rightarrow B \quad \Delta \vdash t' : A}{\Gamma + \Delta \vdash t t' : B}$$

Coeffect + for contraction ($\text{let } \square$), ($\text{let } \Gamma$), (app)

$(x : A, \Gamma) + (x : A, \Delta)$ is ill-formed

$$(x : \square_r A, \Gamma) + (x : \square_s A, \Delta) = x : \square_{r+s} A, (\Gamma + \Delta)$$

Coeffect 0 for weakening (sub)

$$\text{sub} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma' <: \Gamma \quad A <: B}{\Gamma', \square_0 \Delta \vdash t : B}$$

Graded semantics

Core calculus

Interaction example

Graded semantics

Core calculus

Interaction example

BLL / exception composition

$$\text{der}^* \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A} \quad \text{(definite exception)}$$
$$\text{pr} \frac{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\square_r \Gamma \vdash [t_1] : \square_r T_{\perp} A}$$

$$\text{unit} \frac{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash t_2 : B}{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash \langle t_2 \rangle : T_1 B}$$
$$\frac{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash \langle t_2 \rangle : T_1 B}{\Delta, x' : T_{\perp} \square_r A \vdash \dots : T_{\perp} B}$$

Goal: an exception “cancels out” resource requirements
i.e. (above) $r = 1$

BLL / exception composition

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(definite exception)} \\
 \text{der}^* \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A} \\
 \text{pr} \frac{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash [t_1] : \square_1 T_{\perp} A} \\
 \text{unit} \frac{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash t_2 : B}{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash \langle t_2 \rangle : T_1 B} \\
 \frac{\Delta, x' : T_{\perp} \square_r A \vdash \dots : T_{\perp} B}{\neq}
 \end{array}$$

Goal: an exception “cancels out” resource requirements



sequence :: Monad m => [m a] -> m [a]

Notion of a *distributive law* of comonad over monad provides:

$$\text{dist} : \square T A \rightarrow T \square A$$

Indexed distributive law? **No effect and coeffect interaction**

$$\text{dist}_{r,e,A} : \square_r T_e A \rightarrow T_e \square_r A \quad \times$$

Indexed vs. **graded** distributive law

Indexed: $\mathbf{dist}_{r,e,A} : \square_r T_e A \rightarrow T_e \square_r A$

Graded slogan:

algebraic structure on indices matching shape of computation

distributive law on monoids: “*matched pair*” (Zappa-Szep product)

$$\iota : R \times E \rightarrow R$$

$$\langle \kappa, \iota \rangle : R \times E \rightarrow E \times R$$

$$\kappa : R \times E \rightarrow E$$

$$\iota(r, 1) = r$$

$$\iota(r, e \bullet f) = \iota(\iota(r, e), f)$$

$$\iota(1, e) = 1$$

$$\iota(r * s, e) = \iota(r, \kappa(s, e)) * \iota(s, e)$$

$$\kappa(1, e) = e$$

$$\kappa(r * s, e) = \kappa(r, \kappa(s, e))$$

$$\kappa(r, 1) = 1$$

$$\kappa(r, e \bullet f) = \kappa(r, e) \bullet \kappa(\iota(r, e), f)$$

Graded: $\mathbf{dist}_{r,e,A} : \square_{\iota(r,e)} T_e A \rightarrow T_{\kappa(r,e)} \square_r A$

Primitive in our core calculus

BLL / exception composition

$$\frac{\text{der}^* \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\Box_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}}{\text{pr} \frac{\Box_1 \Gamma \vdash [t_1] : \Box_1 T_{\perp} A}}$$

$$\text{unit} \frac{\frac{\Delta, x : \Box_r A \vdash t_2 : B}{\Delta, x : \Box_r A \vdash \langle t_2 \rangle : T_1 B}}{\Delta, x' : T_{\perp} \Box_r A \vdash \dots : T_{\perp} B}}$$

Goal: an exception “cancels out” resource requirements

• Matched pair

$$\kappa(r, e) = e$$

$$\text{dist}_{r, e, A} : \Box_{\iota(r, e)} T_e A \rightarrow T_{\kappa(r, e)} \Box_r A$$

BLL / exception composition

$$\frac{\text{der}^* \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\Box_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}}{\text{pr} \frac{\Box_1 \Gamma \vdash [t_1] : \Box_1 T_{\perp} A}}$$

$$\frac{\text{unit} \frac{\Delta, x : \Box_r A \vdash t_2 : B}{\Delta, x : \Box_r A \vdash \langle t_2 \rangle : T_1 B}}{\Delta, x' : T_{\perp} \Box_r A \vdash \dots : T_{\perp} B}$$

- Matched pair

$$\kappa(r, e) = e$$

$$\iota(r, \perp) = 1$$

$$\mathbf{dist}_{r,e,A} : \Box_{\iota(r,e)} T_e A \rightarrow T_e \Box_r A$$

Goal: an exception “cancels out” resource requirements

BLL / exception composition

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{der}^* \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A}{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{\perp} A} \\
 \text{pr} \frac{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash [t_1] : \square_1 T_{\perp} A}{\square_1 \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{dist} [t_1] : T_{\perp} \square_r A} \\
 \hline
 \Delta + \square_1 \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let} x' = \mathbf{dist} [t_1] \mathbf{in} \dots : T_{\perp} A
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{unit} \frac{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash t_2 : B}{\Delta, x : \square_r A \vdash \langle t_2 \rangle : T_1 B} \\
 \hline
 \Delta, x' : T_{\perp} \square_r A \vdash \dots : T_{\perp} B
 \end{array}$$

- Matched pair

$$\kappa(r, e) = e$$

$$\iota(r, \perp) = 1$$

$$\iota(r, \top) = r$$

$$\mathbf{dist}_{r, \perp, A} : \square_1 T_{\perp} A \rightarrow T_{\perp} \square_r A$$

$$\mathbf{dist}_{r, \top, A} : \square_r T_{\top} A \rightarrow T_{\top} \square_r A$$

Goal: an exception “cancels out” resource requirements ✓

4. Graded distributive law *design space*

“ $T\Box$ ” vs “ $\Box T$ ”

$$\Box T A \rightarrow T \Box A$$

$$T \Box A \rightarrow \Box T A$$

“forward” (L) vs “backward” (R)

$$T_e \Box \dots A \rightarrow \Box \dots T_{\kappa(e,r)} A$$

$$T \dots \Box_r A \rightarrow \Box_{\iota(r,e)} T \dots A$$

$$T_{\kappa(e,r)} \Box \dots A \rightarrow \Box \dots T_e A$$

$$T \dots \Box_{\iota(r,e)} A \rightarrow \Box_r T \dots A$$

ϕ	T over \Box ($T\Box$)				\Box over T ($\Box T$)					
	$F_{r,e}^\phi$		\rightarrow	$G_{r,e}^\phi$		$F_{r,e}^\phi$		\rightarrow	$G_{r,e}^\phi$	
LL	T_e	\Box_r	\rightarrow	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	\Box_r	T_e	\rightarrow	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$
RR	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$	\rightarrow	\Box_r	T_e	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	\rightarrow	T_e	\Box_r
LR	T_e	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$	\rightarrow	\Box_r	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$	T_e	\rightarrow	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	\Box_r
RL	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	\Box_r	\rightarrow	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$	T_e	\Box_r	$T_{\kappa(r,e)}$	\rightarrow	T_e	$\Box_{\iota(r,e)}$

$$\vdash \mathbf{dist}^\phi : F_{r,e}^\phi A \rightarrow G_{r,e}^\phi A$$

Categorical semantics

- **Graded strong monad** (Katsumata 2014)
- **Graded monoidal comonad** (Petricek et al. 2013, Brunel et al. 2014, Petricek et al. 2014, Ghica&Smith et al. 2014)
- **Family of matched-pair graded distributive law** (new)
- **Soundness theorem**

Concluding remarks

- Foundation for considering **effect-coeffect** interaction
- Further possibilities?
 - **Exceptions** interacting with **security levels** (e.g., content of a **high security exception** not observable to **lower security observer**)
 - **Non-determinism** with **probabilistic variables**
- Graded distributive laws useful in other contexts
 - sequence $:: [m \ a] \rightarrow m \ [a]$
 - sequence $:: [m_e \ a]_n \rightarrow m_{e^n} [a]_n$

Thank you.

Extras

Graded distributive law

$$D_r A \xrightarrow{f} T_e B \quad D_s B \xrightarrow{g} T_f C$$

$$D_{r * \iota(s,e)} A \xrightarrow{f^\dagger} D_{\iota(s,e)} T_e B \xrightarrow{\sigma_{s,e,A}} T_{\kappa(s,e)} D_s B \xrightarrow{g^*} T_{\kappa(s,e) \bullet f} C$$

backward propagation of coeffects

forward propagation of effects

distributive law on monoids: “*matched pair*” (Zappa-Szep product)
(monoid on $E \times R$)

$$\iota : R \times E \rightarrow R$$

$$\langle \kappa, \iota \rangle : R \times E \rightarrow E \times R$$

$$\kappa : R \times E \rightarrow E$$

$$\iota(r, 1) = r$$

$$\iota(r, e \bullet f) = \iota(\iota(r, e), f)$$

$$\iota(1, e) = 1$$

$$\iota(r * s, e) = \iota(r, \kappa(s, e)) * \iota(s, e)$$

$$\kappa(1, e) = e$$

$$\kappa(r * s, e) = \kappa(r, \kappa(s, e))$$

$$\kappa(r, 1) = 1$$

$$\kappa(r, e \bullet f) = \kappa(r, e) \bullet \kappa(\iota(r, e), f)$$